

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,632	03/16/2004	Robert G. Moores JR.	0275D-214COD	2922
27572	7590 08/30/2006		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			KALAFUT, STEPHEN J	
P.O. BOX 82 BLOOMFIEI	8 LD HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1745	
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Comments		10/801,632	MOORES ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen J. Kalafut	1745				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failur Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'S HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under <u>E</u> .	action is non-final.					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>59-76</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>59-76</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/output for the property of the content of the co	wn from consideration.					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)🛛 ີ	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/801,632

Art Unit: 1745

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 59-61, 63, 66-68 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulz *et al.* (US 5,480,734) in view of Mita (US 5,456,994), for reasons of record as stated in the Office action of 10 January 2006.

Claims 62, 69 and 73-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulz *et al.* in view of Mita as applied to claims 59 and 66 above, and further in view of Bae *et al.* (US 5,567,542), for reasons of record as applied to original claims 62 and 69.

Claims 64, 65, 71 and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulz *et al.* in view of Mita as applied to claims 59 and 66 above, and further in view of Peled *et al.* (US 5,447,807), for reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments filed 10 April 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Schulz *et al.* does not discuss cooling of the battery during the operation of a power tool powered thereby, instead saying that as the temperature rises, the battery is shut off. This is not persuasive because the heat that leads to the increased temperature is generated during the operation of the power tool by the battery, which would also mean during the time when the battery discharged. As applicant points out, power is terminated "so that it

Application/Control Number: 10/801,632

Art Unit: 1745

[the battery] will not overheat". This is precisely why an artisan, reading Schulz et al., would want to use a cooling means for their battery.

Applicants argue that Bae et al. disclose a battery system for an electric vehicle, and no motivation why Bae et al. should be combined with Schulz et al. Likewise, Mita discloses a battery pack for a vehicle and, according to applicants, provides no motivation for being combined with Schulz et al. This is not persuasive because Schulz et al. provides a reason for cooling a battery pack, while Bae et al. and Mita each provide a means for doing so.

The rejection of claims 66-68 and 70 under §102(b) over Mita is withdrawn in view of the amendment of 16 May 2006.

The objection to the specification made in the action of 10 January 2006 is withdrawn in view of the new drawings submitted 10 April 2006.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,632

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sjk

TEPRES NILAPUT

DEN/

Page 4